

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/629,185 07/29/2003		Hidefumi Yoshizoe	NEC 219824	7204		
27667	67 7590 04/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C.			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M			
3450 E. SUNR TUCSON, AZ	USE DRIVE, SUITE 140		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TOCSON, AZ	2 03710		2871	2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/629,185	YOSHIZOE, HIDEFUMI		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Andrew Schechter	2871		

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Andrew Schechter	2871						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	•	•					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. In the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Discrete Discrete PTOL-324 (PTOL-324).								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-24</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,5,7 and</u> 8.								
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>2,3,7 and 8.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6 and 9-12.</u>								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
•		•						
			•					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/629,185

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims, for instance, adding the limitation that all air outlet paths between the injection inlet and a peripheral part of the LCD panel are unobstructed and straight, require further search and consideration to see if they would make the claims allowable.

Andrew Schechter Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800 25 April 2006